

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

mx

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/768,221	01/29/2004	Rolf Lehmann	RICHT-45094	6193	
26252 7	590 12/02/2004		. EXAMINER		
KELLY BAU	ERSFELD LOWRY	PATEL, TAJASH D			
6320 CANOGA SUITE 1650	A AVENUE	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
	HILLS, CA 91367		3765		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					9		
,		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/768,221		ROLF LEHMANN			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Tejash D Pa		3765			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address	; 		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutor atutory period will apply and will e. will, by statute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from t tion to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communion (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 1/29/04					
2a)□		2b)⊠ This action is non	-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from cons					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be I	neld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to		= : : :				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have been r documents have been r of the priority document nal Bureau (PCT Rule 1	eceived. received in Applications s have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	2		
Attachmen			. □	VDTO 440)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	4) (TO-948)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08) 5)		atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 15 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: The use of the trademark "Velcro" has been noted in claims 15 and 16. It should be deleted wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology such as -- hook and loop fastener --.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andrews el at. (US 5,965,223).

Andrews et al. (hereinafter Andrews) discloses a protective textile material (1) including at least one inner layer (13) made of cut resistant material defined by lining thread and loop, col. 5, lines 42-44 (figures 1 &2) and at least a second layer being made of flame retardant material, col. 3, lines 34-39. Further, the second layer protects against fire and melting when

Application/Control Number: 10/768,221 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

used with the inner layer. Additionally, the textile material forms a protective clothing, such as a jacket col. 4, lines 35-40.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person
 - having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrews.

With regard to claim 3, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that the cut resistant material having lining thread and loops will protect the user when using power tools or depending on a particular application thereof

Art Unit: 3765

6. Claims 6-11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrews in view of Johnson (US 3,774,240)

Andrews discloses the invention as set forth above except for showing an overall with leg sleeves forming two pant legs with a lower area thereof having a spaced apart ring from an entry hole of each sleeve.

Johnson discloses an overall made of fire retardant material, col. 1, lines 60-65, with leg sleeves forming two pant legs (20, 21) with a lower area thereof having a spaced apart plastic/metal ring (51) within casing (34) from an entry hole of each sleeve, col. 3, lines 22-33 and as shown in figure 1. Further, the leg sleeves are connected to an upper chest section (14). Additionally, a lap belt system/casing (28) is provided to secure the overall about the body. Also, the overall has a neck belt (19).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to form the flame retardant and cut resistant protective garment of Andrews into an overall with leg sleeves forming two pant legs with a lower area thereof having a spaced apart ring from an entry hole of each sleeve as taught by Johnson. Doing so, would allow the garment to be closely worn about the legs while protecting the entire body. Furthermore, it would have been obvious that the ring of Johnson when being viewed with base reference of Andrews can be substituted with straps, zipper, buckles, etc since such fastening means are considered equivalent in the art.

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrews in view of Johnson and Cox (US 5,220,692)

Andrews discloses the invention as set forth above except for showing a neck belt having locking means.

Cox discloses an apron (8) having an adjustable neck belt (1) with locking means (2), col. 2, lines 45-55 and as shown in figure 1.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to form the neck belt of the flame retardant and cut resistant overall of Andrews when viewed with Johnson can be adjustable with a locking means as taught by Cox, so that the apron conforms about different sized necks.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,221

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

November 23, 2004

TEJASH PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER